1 John B. Sganga (State Bar No. 116,211) Frederick S. Berretta (State Bar No. 144,757) 2 Joshua J. Stowell (State Bar No. 246,916) KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP 3 550 West C Street Suite 1200 San Diego, CA 92101 4 (619) 235-8550 5 (619) 235-0176 (FAX) Vicki S. Veenker (State Bar No. 158,669) 6 Adam P. Noah (State Bar No. 198,669) 7 SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP 1080 Marsh Road 8 Menlo Park, CA 94025 (650) 838-3600 9 (650) 838-3699 (FAX) 10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs THE LARYNGEAL MASK COMPANY LTD. 11 and LMA NORTH AMERICA, INC.

or of the transfer of the tran

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

07 😭 1988 DMS (NLS

THE LARYNGEAL MASK COMPANY LTD. and LMA NORTH AMERICA, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

AMBU A/S, AMBU INC., AMBU LTD., and AMBU SDN. BHD.,

Defendants.

Givil Action No.

COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF UNITED STATES PATENTS NOS. 5,303,697 AND 7,156,100

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 

#### COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiffs The Laryngeal Mask Company Ltd. and LMA North America, Inc., by and through the undersigned attorneys, hereby complain of Defendants Ambu A/S, Ambu Inc., Ambu Ltd., and Ambu Sdn. Bhd. for infringement of United States Patents Nos. 5,303,697 and 7,156,100, and allege as follows:

28 | ///

### 

# 

# 

### 

# 

### 

# 

### 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

#### 

### 

# 

# 

### 

### 

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code, and more particularly 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 3. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c) and (d), and 1400(b).

#### THE PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff The Laryngeal Mask Company Ltd. ("LMC") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Republic of Seychelles with its principal place of business at Le Rocher, Mahé, Seychelles.
- 5. LMC is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 5,303,697, duly and lawfully issued on April 19, 1994 ("the '697 patent"), and United States Patent No. 7,156,100 ("the '100 patent"), duly and lawfully issued on January 2, 2007.
- 6. Plaintiff LMA North America, Inc. ("LMNA") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business at 4660 La Jolla Village Drive, San Diego, California 92122, and an affiliate of LMC. LMNA is the exclusive licensee of the '697 and '100 patents under exclusive license from LMC.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant Ambu A/S ("Ambu Denmark") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Kingdom of Denmark with its principal place of business at Baltorpbakken 13, DK-2750 Ballerup, Denmark.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant Ambu Inc. ("Ambu U.S.") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business at 6740 Baymeadow Drive, Glen Burnie, Maryland 21060, and a subsidiary of Ambu Denmark that is under the control of Ambu Denmark.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant Ambu Ltd. ("Ambu China") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the People's Republic of China with its principal place of business at Warehouse & Process Complex Building, No. C, Xiang Yu

- F.T.Z. Xiamen, 361006 China, and a subsidiary of Ambu Denmark that is under the control of Ambu Denmark.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant Ambu Sdn. Bhd. ("Ambu Malaysia") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Malaysia with its principal place of business at Lot 69B, Lintang Bayan Lepas 6, Phase IV, 11900 Penang, Malaysia, and a subsidiary of Ambu Denmark that is under the control of Ambu Denmark.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendants Ambu Denmark, Ambu U.S., Ambu China, and Ambu Malaysia (collectively, the "Ambu Defendants") manufacture, distribute, import, offer to sell, and sell in the United States certain laryngeal mask airway devices that Plaintiffs allege infringe the '697 and '100 patents, including the Ambu AuraOnce, Aura40, AuraFlex, and AuraStraight brands of laryngeal mask airway devices.
- 12. Upon information and belief, the Ambu Defendants do business in this judicial district and have committed acts of infringement in this judicial district.

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF - INFRINGEMENT OF THE '697 PATENT

- 13. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference the allegations stated in paragraphs 1-12 of this Complaint.
- 14. Upon information and belief, the Ambu Defendants, through their manufacture, distribution, importation, offers to sell, and sales in the United States of certain laryngeal mask airway devices, including their Ambu AuraOnce, Aura40, AuraFlex, and/or AuraStraight brands of laryngeal mask airway devices, have directly and indirectly infringed and are continuing to directly and indirectly infringe one or more claims of the '697 patent, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 15. Upon information and belief, the Ambu Defendants had actual knowledge of the '697 patent prior to their alleged acts of infringement.
- 16. As a direct and proximate result of the Ambu Defendants' infringement of the '697 patent, Plaintiffs have been and continue to be damaged.

9

6

11

26 28

- Upon information and belief, the Ambu Defendants' infringement of the '697 17. patent has been, and will continue to be, willful and deliberate, making this an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 18. Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be irreparably harmed by the Ambu Defendants' infringement of the '697 patent unless enjoined by this Court.

#### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF - INFRINGEMENT OF THE '100 PATENT

- Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference the allegations stated in 19. paragraphs 1-12 of this Complaint.
- Upon information and belief, the Ambu Defendants, through their 20. manufacture, distribution, importation, offers to sell, and sales in the United States of certain laryngeal mask airway devices, including their Ambu AuraOnce, Aura40, AuraFlex, and/or AuraStraight brands of laryngeal mask airway devices, have directly and indirectly infringed and are continuing to directly and indirectly infringe one or more claims of the '100 patent, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- Upon information and belief, the Ambu Defendants had actual knowledge of 21. the '100 patent prior to their alleged acts of infringement.
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of the Ambu Defendants' infringement of the '100 patent, Plaintiffs have been and continue to be damaged.
- Upon information and belief, the Ambu Defendants' infringement of the '100 23. patent has been, and will continue to be, willful and deliberate, making this an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 24. Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be irreparably harmed by the Ambu Defendants' infringement of the '100 patent unless enjoined by this Court.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as follows:

A. That the Ambu Defendants, and each of them, be adjudged to have infringed the '697 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271, and that the '697 patent be adjudged to be valid and enforceable.

28

- B. That the Ambu Defendants, and each of them, be adjudged to have infringed the '100 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271, and that the '100 patent be adjudged to be valid and enforceable.
- C. That the Ambu Defendants, their subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and all those persons in active concert or participation with any of them be permanently restrained and enjoined under 35 U.S.C. § 283 from directly and indirectly infringing the '697 and '100 patents;
- D. That the Court award Plaintiffs recovery of damages to compensate them for the Ambu Defendants' infringement of the '697 and '100 patents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- E. That the Ambu Defendants be adjudged to have willfully infringed the '697 and '100 patents under 35 U.S.C. § 271, and that the Court treble the amount of actual damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- F. That this action be adjudged an exceptional case, and that the Court award Plaintiffs their reasonable attorney's fees incurred in this action, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- G. That the Court assess pre-judgment and post-judgment interests and costs of suit against the Ambu Defendants, and award such interests and costs to Plaintiffs pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284; and
- H. That Plaintiffs have such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: October 15, 2007

John B. Sganga Frederick S. Berretta Joshua J. Stowell

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
THE LARYNGEAL MASK COMPANY LTD.
and LMA NORTH AMERICA, INC.

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiffs The Laryngeal Mask Company Ltd. and LMA North America, Inc. hereby demand a trial by jury as to all issues triable by jury, specifically including, but not limited to, the infringement of United States Patents Nos. 5,303,697 and 7,156,100.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: October 15, 2007

John B. Sganga Frederick S. Berretta Joshua J. Stowell

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
THE LARYNGEAL MASK COMPANY LTD.
and LMA NORTH AMERICA, INC.

JS44 CIVIL COVER SHEET (Rev. 07/89) The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE SECOND PAGE OF THIS FORM.) DEFENDANTS L(a) PLAINTIFFS THE LARYNGEAL MASK COMPANY LTD., and LMA NORTH AMERICA, INC. ALIFOR Country of Denmark COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED Republic of Seychelles (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) PLAINTIFF (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) (c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER) 1988 DMS John P KNOBI nknown 550 We San Di (619)2TIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX II. BASIS C FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT iversity Cases Only) ₽T DEF UNITED STATES PT DEF 1U.S. Gov Incorporated or Principal Place of Business of This State □4 □4 DISTRICT COURT in This State SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Incorporated and Principal Place of Business of Another State  $\square_2$   $\square_2$  $\Box_5$   $\Box_5$ 2U.S. Gc SAN DIEGO DIVISION in Another State i or Subject of a Foreign Foreign Nation **□**6 **□**6  $\Box_3$   $\Box_3$ 143503 SR ILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE. DO NOT CITE IV. CAUSE JURISDIC! October 15, 2007 odes 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281. This: 13:20:50 V. NATUR Civ Fil Non-Pris BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES FOR FEITURE/PENALTY USAO #.: 07CV1988 CIV. FIL. 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 🗆 110 Insura 🖵 400 State Reappointment 🕽 610 Agriculture Judge..: DANA M SABRAW ☐ 410 Antitrus 120 Marin 620 Other Food & Drug PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 430 Banks and Banking Amount.: ☐ 130 Miller \$350.00 CK 625 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC881 ☐ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 🛘 820 Copyrights ☐ 140 Nego Check#.: BC#10421 460 Deportation 830 Patent ☐ 150 Recov 🗖 630 Liquor Laws &Enforce: 🗆 640 RR & Truck 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ 8<u>10 Trademar</u> Corrupt Organizations SOCIAL SECURITY ☐ 151 Medie 🗆 650 Airline Regs 3 810 Selective Service 660 Occupational Safety/Health 🗆 861 HIA (13958) ☐ 152 Recov Loans (Ex 350 Securities/Commodities 362 Black Line (923) G90 Other \$350.00 Total-> Exchange LABOR ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(a)) ☐ 153Recov of Vetera 🗖 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 160 Stock □ 891 Agricultural Acts 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 3 892 Economic Stabilization Act ☐ 190 Otha 730 Labor/Memt. Reporting & FROM: THE LARYNGEAL MASK CO. V. AMBU Disclosure Act 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 2 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 195 Cont CIVIL FILING RE 2 894 Energy Allocation Act 740 Railway Labor Act 3895 Freedom of Information Act ☐ 210 Land 790 Other Labor Litigation 871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. ☐ 220 Fore ☐ 230 Rent Security Act 950 Constitutionality of State ☐ 240 Tort 2 890 Other Statutory Actions 245 Tort 290 All Other Real Property 550 Civil Rights VI. ORIGIN (PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) □4 Reinstated or □5 Transferred from ☐7 Appeal to District Judge from ☐6 Multidistrict Litigation X1 Original Proceeding □2 Removal from ☐ 3 Remanded from Appelate another district (specify) Magistrate Judgment State Court Court Reopened VII. REQUESTED IN DEMAND \$ Check YES only if demanded in complaint: ☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: X YES □NO UNDER f.r.c.p. 23 VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See Instructions): JUDGE

October 15, 200

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

OMA\PCDOCS\WORDPERFECT\22816\1 January 24, 2000 (3:10pm)